

References

- Blumenthal SL, McAfee PC, Guyer RD, Hochschuler S, Geisler RD, Holt RT: A prospective, randomized, multi-center FDA IDE study of lumbar total disc replacement with the CHARITE artificial disc vs. lumbar fusion: Part 1-evaluation of clinical outcomes. **Spine** 30:1565-1575,2005
- Burkus JK, Haid RW, Traynelis VC, and Mummaneni PV: Long-clinical and radiographic outcomes of cervical disc replacement with the Prestige disc: results from a prospective randomized controlled clinical trial. **J Neurosurg-Spine**13:308-318, 2010
- Chang U-K, Kim DH, Lee MC, Willenberg R, Kim S-H, Lim J: Changes in adjacent-level disc pressure and facet joint force after cervical arthroplasty compared with cervical discectomy and fusion. **J Neurosurg-Spine**7:33-39, 2007
- Coric D, Finger F, Boltes P: The Bryan Cervical Disc prospective, randomized, controlled study: Early clinical results from a single investigational site. **J Neurosurg-Spine** 41:31-35, 2006
- Coric D, Cassis J, Carew J, Boltes MO. Prospective Study of Cervical Arthroplasty: 98 Patients from Three Separate IDE Studies from a Single Investigational Site with Minimum Two Year Follow-up. **J Neurosurg-Spine** 13:715-721, 2010
- Coric D, Nunley P, Guyer RD, Musante D, Carmody C, Gordon C, Laurysen C, Ohnmeiss D, Boltes MO: Prospective, Randomized, Multicenter Study of Cervical Arthroplasty: 269 Patients from the Kineflex®|C Artificial Disc IDE Study with Minimum Two Year Follow-up. **J Neurosurg-Spine**15:348-358, 2011
- Coric D, Kim PK, Clemente J, Boltes MO, Nussbaum M, James, S: Prospective, Randomized Study of Cervical Arthroplasty and ACDF with Long-Term Follow-up: 74 Patients from a Single Site with Four- to Eight-year Follow-up. **J Neurosurg-Spine** 18:85-95, 2011
- Delamarter RB, Murrey D, Janssen ME, Goldstein JA, Zigler J, Tay BKB: Results at 24 months from the prospective, randomized, multicenter Investigational Device Exemption trial of ProDisc-C versus anterior cervical discectomy and fusion with 4-year follow-up and continued access patients. **SAS J** 4: 122-128, 2010
- Delamarter RB, Zigler J: Five-year Reoperation Rates, Cervical Total Disc Replacement versus Fusion, Results of a Prospective Randomized Clinical Trial. **Spine** Nov 2012 (Epub ahead of print)
- Garrido BJ, Taha TA, Sasso RC: Clinical outcomes of Bryan cervical disc arthroplasty a prospective, randomized, controlled, single site trial with 48-month follow-up. **J Spinal Disord Tech** 23:367-371, 2010
- Heller JG, Sasso RC, Papadopoulos SM, Anderson PA, Fessler RG, Hacker RJ, Coric D, Cauthen JC, Riew DK. Comparison of BRYAN Cervical Disc Arthroplasty With Anterior Cervical Decompression and Fusion. **Spine** 34:101-107, 2008
- Kowalczyk I, Lazaro B, Fink M, Rabin D, Duggal N: Analysis of in vivo kinematics of 3 different cervical devices: Bryan disc, Prodisc-C, and Prestige LP disc. **J Neurosurg-Spine** 15:630-635, 2011
- Mummaneni P, Burkus J, Haid R, Traynelis V, Zdeblick T: Clinical and radiographic analysis of cervical disc arthroplasty compared with allograft fusion: a randomized controlled clinical trial. **J Neurosurg-Spine** 6:198-200, 2007
- Murrey D, Janssen M, Delamarter R, Goldstein J, Zigler J, et al: Results of the prospective, randomized controlled multicenter Food and Drug Administration investigational device exemption study of the ProDisc-C total disc replacement versus anterior discectomy and fusion for the treatment of 1-level symptomatic cervical disc disease. **Spine J** 9:275-286, 2009
- Puttlitz CM, Rousseau MA, Xu Z, Hu S, Tay BK, Lotz JC: Intervertebral disc replacement maintains cervical spine kinetics. **Spine** 29:2809-14, 2004
- Robertson JT, Papadopoulos SM, Traynelis VC: Assessment of adjacent-segment disease in patients treated with cervical fusion or arthroplasty: a prospective 2-year study. **J Neurosurg-Spine**3:417-423, 2005
- Upadhyaya CD, Wu JC, Trost G, Haid RW, Traynelis VC, Tay B, Coric D, Mummaneni PV: Analysis of the three US FDA-IDE Cervical Arthroplasty Trials. **J Neurosurg-Spine** 16:216-228, 2012
- Zigler JE, Delamarter RB: Five-year results of the prospective, randomized, multicenter, Food and Drug Administration investigational device exemption study of the ProDisc-L total disc replacement versus circumferential arthrodesis for the treatment of single-level degenerative disc disease. **J Neurosurg Spine** 17: 493-501, 2012
- Zigler JE, Delamarter R, Murrey D, Spivak J, Janssen M: ProDisc-C and ACDF as Surgical Treatment for Single Level Cervical Symptomatic Degenerative Disc Disease: Five-Year Results of an FDA Study. **Spine** 38:203-9, 2013
- Zigler J, Delamarter R, Spivak JM, Linovitz RJ, Danielson GO, Haider TT, Cammisa F, et al: Results of the prospective, randomized, multicenter Food and Drug Administration investigational device exemption study of the ProDisc-L total disc replacement versus circumferential fusion for the treatment of 1-level degenerative disc disease. **Spine** 32:1155-1162,2007
- Zigler JE, Glenn J, Delamarter RB: Five-year adjacent-level degenerative changes in patients with single-level disease treated using lumbar total disc replacement with ProDisc-L versus circumferential fusion. **J Neurosurg Spine** 17:504-11, 2012
- McAfee PC, Reah C, Gilder K, Eisermann L, Cunningham B. A meta-analysis of comparative outcomes following cervical arthroplasty or anterior cervical fusion: Results from 4 prospective multicenter randomized clinical trials and up to 1226 patients. **Spine** 2012;37:943-952.
- Phillips FM, Lee JY, Geisler FG, Cappuccino A, Chaput CD, DeVine JG, Reah C, Gilder KM, Howell KM, McAfee PC. A prospective, randomized, controlled clinical investigation comparing PCM cervical disc arthroplasty to anterior cervical discectomy and fusion: 2 year results from the US IDE clinical trial. **Spine** 2013; April 15. [Epub Ahead of Print]